Critical Industry Vote at June 25, 2015 NFPA Chicago Meeting

Frequently, important issues arise in the NFPA revision process that require an organized industry response. In June, two motions will be considered for NFPA 72, The National Fire Alarm and Signaling Code, at the NFPA meeting in Chicago, which will impact the alarm industry with potential trickle down effects to the broader electronic security industry.

The Security Industry Association (SIA) is working with other industry organizations to educate and mobilize the industry on two motions within the NFPA Technical Meeting on June 25, 2015, in Chicago.

What Is Going On?

The long task of revising NFPA 72, The National Fire Alarm and Signaling Code, for the 2016 Edition is winding down. The process to date has involved two revision editions, multiple technical meetings, and public input. The last public (Member) input is planned for the Technical Meeting at the NFPA 2015 Annual Meeting in Chicago.

As of today, there is language in the proposed 2016 edition of NFPA 72 that is beneficial to our industry and our customers. This language clarifies the position that Listed Central Stations are able to provide Remote Station Service if that is the level of service desired. Specifically, within the proposed final edition is a paragraph which reads “26.5.3.1.3 Alarm, supervisory and trouble signals shall be permitted to be received at a listed central supervising station.”

Despite this improvement to NFPA 72, opposition has arisen. The opposition has presented two motions (Certified Amending Motions) to rescind the proposed language and keep listed central stations ability to provide remote station service uncertain:

1. Motion Sequence # 72-8 Seeks to limit Central Station monitoring to situations approved by the AHJ.

2. Motion Sequence # 72-9 Seeks to eliminate the proposed paragraph all together.

Our industry needs to come together to defeat these motions.

Why Is This Important?

Defeating these motions is important to the alarm industry. From a national perspective, the code should reflect that a facility meeting the stringent central station listing requirements automatically meets the remote station facility standards. This prevents a central station from arbitrarily being denied recognition as a remote station. This is currently occurring within the greater Chicago area, driven by fire departments that operate their own alarm monitoring centers. In these situations, the AHJ designates a single remote station, typically operated by the AHJ itself, as “approved” under the Code and then rejects all forms of private fire alarm monitoring, including monitoring via a NRTL listed central station. This eliminates all private competition and leaves a government entity, whom is also the AHJ, as the sole provider of fire monitoring services.
**How Do You Oppose the Motions?**

The process for voting at the NFPA conference is as follows:

1. **Determine if you are eligible to vote in the tech session:** Only NFPA members of record as of December 24, 2014 who are currently in good standing are eligible to vote at the Technical Meeting. To vote, the attendee must have either a full conference registration or an NFPA Technical Meeting Only registration.

2. **Show up, in person, to the tech session:** The vote on these items is scheduled for the NFPA Technical Meeting at the McCormick Place in Chicago on **Thursday, June 25, 2015**. The meeting starts at 8:00 am. Registration for the technical committee meeting is at: [http://www.nfpa.org/training/conference/register](http://www.nfpa.org/training/conference/register)
   Registration to just attend just the technical meeting is $225.

3. **Pay attention to the proceedings and vote in the NEGATIVE (VOTE “NO”) on both motions:** The motions are trying to defeat updates to the code that are fair and reasonable. Voting this year is by an electronic voting process, no longer a simple show of hands. Therefore, it is critical that you listen up and vote in the NEGATIVE on both of these motions.

4. **CSAA is leading the industry mobilization on this effort, so please Inform CSAA via email (techadmin@csaaintl.org) if you are able to attend:** We want to have an idea as to the number of known supporting votes that will be present. If you provide the email address of your attendee, we will send them the list of others attending, in the event they have any questions on the process.

**What Does This Issue Look Like in NFPA Terms?**

**NFPA 72 - 2013**

26.5.3* Facilities.

**26.5.3.1** Alarm systems utilizing remote supervising station connections shall transmit alarm and supervisory signals to a facility meeting the requirements of either 26.5.3.1.1, 26.5.3.1.2, or 26.5.3.1.3.

**26.5.3.1.1** Alarm, supervisory, and trouble signals shall be permitted to be received at a communications center that complies with the requirements of NFPA 1221, *Standard for the Installation, Maintenance, and Use of Emergency Services Communications Systems*.

**26.5.3.1.2** Alarm, supervisory, and trouble signals shall be permitted to be received at the fire station or at the governmental agency that has public responsibility for taking prescribed action to ensure response upon receipt of an alarm signal.

**26.5.3.1.3** Where permitted by the authority having jurisdiction, alarm, supervisory, and trouble signals shall be permitted to be received at an alternate location approved by the authority having jurisdiction.

**A.26.5.3** As a minimum, the room or rooms containing the remote supervising station equipment should have a 1-hour fire rating, and the entire structure should be protected by an alarm system complying with Chapter 23.
A.26.5.3.1.3 A listed central station might be considered an acceptable alternate location for receipt of fire alarm, supervisory, and trouble signals.

Motion Seq# 72-8

Certified Amending Motion: Accept Public Comment No. 140

Recommended Text if Motion Passes:

26.5.3.1.3 When permitted by the Authority Having Jurisdiction, alarm, supervisory, and trouble signals shall be permitted to be received at a listed central supervising station

Recommended Text if Motion Fails:

26.5.3.1.3 Alarm, supervisory, and trouble signals shall be permitted to be received at a listed central supervising station.

Motion Seq# 72-9

Certified Amending Motion: Accept Public Comment No. 192.

Recommended Text if Motion Passes:

The proposed new text (26.5.3.1.3) is not recommended for inclusion in the 2016 edition of NFPA 72.

Recommended Text if Motion Fails:

26.5.3.1.3 Alarm, supervisory, and trouble signals shall be permitted to be received at a listed central supervising station.

For more information please contact Joe Gittens, Director of Standards at the Security Industry Association, at jgittens@securityindustry.org.