



Best Practices for Monitoring Cyber Threats to Security Solutions

Feb. 4, 2020

Best Practices for Monitoring Cyber Threats to Security Solutions





Introducing your speakers



Stephen Schwartz, Vice President of Development, Razberi Technologies



Ryan Zatolokin, Business Development Manager/ Senior Technologist, North America Axis Communications



Stephen Schwartz currently leads the software design and product development efforts for all Razberi products and has over 30 years experience in high-growth software and hardware companies.

His previous roles include CTO of RFID Global Solution where he led the design and development of products like Visi-Trac asset visibility and Genesta's SyVox voice recognition logistics solution for companies like PepsiCo, Sara Lee / Bimbo, and QuikTrip. Schwartz has also served several roles within Intermec as the Director of Product Management, Systems Engineering Manager, an d Senior Systems Architect for their RFID hardware and software business unit fielding solutions to hundreds of Fortune 100 companies globally. In his spare time, he is a contributor and voting member of ETSI EN 302 -208, and ANSI X3T6.

Schwartz has a bachelor's degree in Electrical Engineering from the University of Kentucky with graduate studies in engineering from George Mason University and business from Columbia University.

Ryan Zatolokin is the business development manager, senior technologist for the business development team of Axis Communications. His primary focus is cybersecurity as well as positioning and promoting Axis technology in conjunction with the hardware and software technologies of eco-system partners.

Ryan joined Axis in 2011, as a field sales engineer, bringing more than a decade of experience in network engineering on the systems integrator side of the industry.

Ryan earned his bachelor's degree in Business Administration with a specialty in computer information systems from Eastern Michigan University.



Today's objectives

Threat landscape

Understanding the differences between risk, vulnerabilities, threats, and incidents

Physical security's cyber problem

Recognizing threats and vulnerabilities

The targeting of physical security

Why monitoring is required







High profile breaches make headlines







Threat landscape

Not Petya 2017 – \$10 Billion in Damages Worldwide

- > Maersk \$300 Million
- > Merck \$870 Million
- > FedEx \$400 Million
- > Combination of Windows vulnerability combined with ransomware
- Collateral damage to nation-state target Ukraine from Russian hackers

Mirai/Persiria – Botnet Devils Ivy – Stack overflow – SOAP







Cybersecurity legislation

California State Bill 327

Starting on January 1st, 2020, any manufacturer of a device that connects "directly or indirectly" to the internet must equip it with "reasonable" security features designed to prevent unauthorized access, modification, or information disclosure. If it can be accessed outside a local area network (LAN) with a password, it needs to either come with a unique password for each device or force users to set their own password the first time they connect. That means no more generic default credentials for a hacker to guess.

NDAA 2018

- > Bans on specific manufacturers
- > Improve security by default from manufacturer on products

IoT Cybersecurity Improvement Act 2017

- > Improve security by default from manufacturer on products
- > Contractor to provide "proof" of product without vulnerabilities





Definitions

Risk is the probability that an outside element will exploit a system weakness.

Vulnerability is a system weakness that creates a risk.

A **threat** is anything that could exploit a vulnerability to be destructive or harmful to assets.

An **incident** (or event) occurs when a threat penetrates the security of a network without authorization.







Physical security's cyber problem

Proliferation of	Inter -company	Lack of IT	Small pool of
IoT devices used	disconnects	oversight into	available
within physical	between	physical security	cybersecurity
security	Operations and IT	networks	professionals
Sophisticated solutions are complex to implement	Slow adoption of best practices by manufacturers and installers	Large and growing vulnerable install base	Hackers leverage adjacent less secure networks to gain corporate access





Poll: Question 1

Given the current threat landscape and economic environment, do you perceive a change in the cyber threats facing your organization?

➤ Increase

≻Same

➢ Decrease

≻Don't know





Recognizing threats and vulnerabilities

Physical security architecture has evolved to be more IT -centric Most data breaches are never reported, even less so when not mandated by law 180 million professional video surveillance cameras will be shipped in 2019

Online tools / search engines (e.g. Insecam.org, Shodan) regularly showcase vulnerabilities Prevailing culture and lack of understanding breed opportunistic hackers who gain entry through adjacent networks

IT-based system adoption exponentially creates further vulnerabilities





Threat actors







Top IoT security targets





https://www.iotworldtoday.com/2016/07/27/10-most-vulnerable-iot-security-targets/



#SimpleSecureVideo













Automate



Razberi CameraDefense™

Award -Winning, Automated IoT Cybersecurity Software

Blocks	unauthorized devices	
Closes	unused network ports	
Restricts	device traffic to known networks	
Enforces	password complexity	
Denies	un-needed network services	
Monitors	alerts for threat detection displayed on simple dashboard	





Integrate

Milestone XProtect Managemen	t Client 2018 R3		-	- 🗆 X	
Edit View Action Tools He	lp				
り 3 < 曲 Navigation					
Servers	solarwinds 🥳 MY	DASHBOARDS - ALERTS	& activity 👻	REPORTS - SETTINGS -	
Cameras Microphones	Admin Alerts & Repo	orts Manage Alerts			
V Speakers Wetadata V Input U Output U Client	Manage Alerts All Alerts created for your	r environment are listed in the g	rid below.		
View Groups	ALERT MANAGER	splunk>light Search Da	tasets Reports Ale	erts Dashboards	1· 0
Time Profiles	GROUP BY:	New Search Save As * New Table Cir			
Notification Profiles Notification Profiles Notification Profiles Notification Profiles Security Transaction sources Transaction sources Transaction sources	host="127.0.0.1"			All time 🕶 🔾	
	🗸 1,265 events (before 4/22/19 4:53:28.000 PM) No Event Sampling 💌 Job 👻 II 🔳 🛷 🍝 🛓 📍 Smart Mode 💌				
	Events (1,265) Patterns Statistics Visualization				
	Format Timeline				
			List 🐐 🖌 Format	20 Per Page ▼	(Prev 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 Next)
Alarms		< Hide Fields :≣ All Fields	i Time	Event	
	SELECTED FIELDS a host 1 a source 1 a sourcetype 1	> 4/22/19 4:50:55.000 PM	Apr 22 16:58:55 127.0.0.1 1 2018-08-13723:24:29.000000+00:00 RAZBER. tected on EndpointDefender (RZED16105827) port 1 :: Violation Type: DP) host = 127.0.01 source = 127.0.01514 source = syslog	I-F5Q2RAP RazberiMonitor Whitelist Violation: A whitelist violation has been destination - Source: 192.168.50.51 - Destination: 239.255.255.250 - Port: 1900	
	INTERESTING FIELDS # date_hour 24 # date_mday 7 # date_minda 60	> 4/22/19 4:45:00.000 PM	Apr 22 16:45:00 127.0.0.1 1 2018-08-13723:18:56.000000+00:00 RAZBER tected on EndpointDefender (RZED16105827) port 1 :: Violation Type: P) host=1270.01 source=1270.01:514 sourcetype=syslog	I-FSQ2RAP RazberiMonitor Whitelist Violation: A whitelist violation has been destination - Source: 192.168.50.51 - Destination: 192.168.50.20 - Port: 40286	
	a date_month 2 # date_second 60 a date_wday 5 # date_ware 1	> 4/22/19 4:39:06.000 PM	Apr 22 16:39:06 127.0.0.1 1 2018-08-13123:13:29.000000+00:00 RAZBER tected on EndpointDefender (RZED16105827) port 1 :: Violation Type: DP)	I-F502RAP RazberiMonitor Whitelist Violation: A whitelist violation has been destination - Source: 192.168.50.51 - Destination: 239.255.255.250 - Port: 1900	





Collaborate







Report













Summary







Poll: Question 2

Are you interested in having a conversation with Axis Communications and Razberi Technologies?

- >Yes, please contact me
- >No, not at this time





Thank You

#SimpleSecureVideo



